

Meeting of the

GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 19 December 2012 at 7.00 p.m.

A G E N D A

VENUE

MP702, 7th Floor, Town Hall, Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent,
London, E14 2BG

Members:	Deputies (if any):
Chair: Councillor Shiria Khatun Vice-Chair:	
Councillor John Pierce Councillor David Edgar Councillor Ahmed Adam Omer Councillor Peter Golds Councillor Aminur Khan Councillor Lutfa Begum	Councillor Craig Aston, (Designated Deputy representing Councillor Peter Golds) Councillor David Snowdon, (Designated Deputy representing Councillor Peter Golds) Councillor Khales Uddin Ahmed, (Designated Deputy representing Councillors Shiria Khatun, John Pierce, David Edgar and Ahmed Adam Omer) Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman, (Designated Deputy representing Councillors Shiria Khatun, John Pierce, David Edgar and Ahmed Adam Omer) Councillor Joshua Peck, (Designated Deputy representing Councillors Shiria Khatun, John Pierce, David Edgar and Ahmed Adam Omer)

[Note: The quorum for this body is 3 Members].

If you require any further information relating to this meeting, would like to request a large print, Braille or audio version of this document, or would like to discuss access arrangements or any other special requirements, please contact: Evelyn Akoto,
Tel: 020 7364 4207, E-mail: evelyn.akoto@towerhamlets.gov.uk

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 19 December 2012

7.00 p.m.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

2. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

1 - 4

To note any declarations of interest made by Members, including those restricting Members from voting on the questions detailed in Section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act, 1992.

See attached note from the Monitoring Officer.

	PAGE NUMBERS	WARD(S) AFFECTED
--	-----------------	---------------------

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

5 - 8

To confirm as a correct record of the proceedings the unrestricted minutes of the ordinary meeting of the General Purposes Committee held on 13 September 2012.

4. REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION

4.1 Local Electoral Review: Update

9 - 16

4.2 Parliamentary Constituency Boundary Review 2013: Update

17 - 32

5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIRS CONSIDERS URGENT

6. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

In view of the contents of the remaining items on the agenda the Committee is recommended to adopt the following motion:

“That, under the provisions of Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the press and public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting for the consideration of the Section Two business on the grounds that it contains information defined as Exempt in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act, 1972.”

EXEMPT SECTION (Pink Papers)

The exempt committee papers in the agenda will contain information, which is commercially, legally or personally sensitive and should not be divulged to third parties. If you do not wish to retain these papers after the meeting, please hand them to the Committee Officer present.

6 .1 RESTRICTED MINUTES

33 - 36

To confirm as a correct record of the proceedings the restricted minutes of the ordinary meeting of the General Purposes Committee held on 13 September 2012.

6 .2 Local Authority Governor Appointments

37 - 100

Agenda Item 2

DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS - NOTE FROM THE MONITORING OFFICER

This note is for guidance only. For further details please consult the Members' Code of Conduct at Part 5.1 of the Council's Constitution.

Please note that the question of whether a Member has an interest in any matter, and whether or not that interest is a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest, is for that Member to decide. Advice is available from officers as listed below but they cannot make the decision for the Member. If in doubt as to the nature of an interest it is advisable to seek advice **prior** to attending a meeting.

Interests and Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPIs)

You have an interest in any business of the authority where that business relates to or is likely to affect any of the persons, bodies or matters listed in section 4.1 (a) of the Code of Conduct; and might reasonably be regarded as affecting the well-being or financial position of yourself, a member of your family or a person with whom you have a close association, to a greater extent than the majority of other council tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of the ward affected.

You must notify the Monitoring Officer in writing of any such interest, for inclusion in the Register of Members' Interests which is available for public inspection and on the Council's Website.

Once you have recorded an interest in the Register, you are not then required to declare that interest at each meeting where the business is discussed, unless the interest is a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI).

A DPI is defined in Regulations as a pecuniary interest of any of the descriptions listed at **Appendix A** overleaf. Please note that a Member's DPIs include his/her own relevant interests and also those of his/her spouse or civil partner; or a person with whom the Member is living as husband and wife; or a person with whom the Member is living as if they were civil partners; if the Member is aware that that other person has the interest.

Effect of a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest on participation at meetings

Where you have a DPI in any business of the Council you must, unless you have obtained a dispensation from the authority's Monitoring Officer following consideration by the Dispensations Sub-Committee of the Standards Advisory Committee:-

- not seek to improperly influence a decision about that business; and
- not exercise executive functions in relation to that business.

If you are present at a meeting where that business is discussed, you must:-

- Disclose to the meeting the existence and nature of the interest at the start of the meeting or when the interest becomes apparent, if later; and
- Leave the room (including any public viewing area) for the duration of consideration and decision on the item and not seek to influence the debate or decision

When declaring a DPI, Members should specify the nature of the interest and the agenda item to which the interest relates. This procedure is designed to assist the public's understanding of the meeting and to enable a full record to be made in the minutes of the meeting.

Where you have a DPI in any business of the authority which is not included in the Member's register of interests and you attend a meeting of the authority at which the business is considered, in addition to disclosing the interest to that meeting, you must also within 28 days notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest for inclusion in the Register.

Further advice

For further advice please contact:-

Isabella Freeman, Assistant Chief Executive (Legal Services), 020 7364 4801; or
John Williams, Service Head, Democratic Services, 020 7364 4204

APPENDIX A: Definition of a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest

(Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012, Reg 2 and Schedule)

Subject	Prescribed description
Employment, office, trade, profession or vacation	Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
Sponsorship	<p>Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from the relevant authority) made or provided within the relevant period in respect of any expenses incurred by the Member in carrying out duties as a member, or towards the election expenses of the Member.</p> <p>This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.</p>
Contracts	<p>Any contract which is made between the relevant person (or a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest) and the relevant authority—</p> <p>(a) under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and</p> <p>(b) which has not been fully discharged.</p>
Land	Any beneficial interest in land which is within the area of the relevant authority.
Licences	Any licence (alone or jointly with others) to occupy land in the area of the relevant authority for a month or longer.
Corporate tenancies	<p>Any tenancy where (to the Member's knowledge)—</p> <p>(a) the landlord is the relevant authority; and</p> <p>(b) the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest.</p>
Securities	<p>Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where—</p> <p>(a) that body (to the Member's knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of the relevant authority; and</p> <p>(b) either—</p> <p>(i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or</p> <p>(ii) if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

MINUTES OF THE GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE

HELD AT 7.40 P.M. ON THURSDAY, 13 SEPTEMBER 2012

**COMMITTEE ROOM, 1ST FLOOR, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE, 5 CLOVE
CRESCENT, LONDON, E14 2BG**

Members Present:

Councillor Shiria Khatun (Chair)
Councillor John Pierce
Councillor David Edgar (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Ahmed Adam Omer
Councillor Craig Aston

Officers Present:

Isabella Freeman	– Assistant Chief Executive (Legal Services),
John Williams	– Service Head, Democratic Services
Hania Franek	– Head of School Governance and Information
Evelyn Akoto	– Democratic Services, Committee Officer

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Cllr Aminur Khan, and Cllr Golds for whom Cllr Aston was deputising.

2. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

No declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests were made.

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

Subject to the following amendment, it was **RESOLVED** that the unrestricted minutes of the meeting held on 3 July 2012 were agreed and approved as a correct record.

- Cllr Ahmed Adam Omer would like it to be noted that he was not aware of the meeting on 3 July 2012 and so was not in a position to give his apologies.
- Cllr Craig Aston stated that he was at the meeting, but his attendance was not recorded.

4. REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION

4.1 Appointments to Outside Organisations

Isabella Freeman, Assistant Chief Executive (Legal Services), provided the committee with a verbal update. She stated that the report on Appointment to Outside Organisations was not available, but that she had spoken with the Mayor who had expressed his wish to retain his Executive powers to make appointment to external bodies. The Mayor will be given the definitive list of appointments and will thereafter hold discussions with the group leaders.

Responding to questions, officers stated that:

- The Executive does have clear executive powers as set out in the Constitution.
- There are vacancies, but a vast majority have continued to be filled by those who were in the post last year. The officer stated that existing vacant posts will be highlighted to the Mayor as urgent when he reviews the list, so that appointments can be made as soon as possible.
- The committee has no power of ratification or to make suggestions, as the decision ultimately rests with the Mayor. However, the committee still holds power to make appointments that are not related to or in support of an executive function, or where the appointment carries remuneration as the council excluded any paid appointments from the Mayors powers on the current list.

Action:

- The appointments list and a previous report outlining the appointing powers of the Mayor and that of the General Purpose committee will be circulated to members.
- Members will be kept updated with the progress of the appointments being made.

RESOLVED

That the verbal update be noted.

4.2 Local Electoral Review 2012 - update

John Williams, Service Head Democratic Services, introduced the update report. He informed the committee that the second stage of the electoral review was completed on Tuesday 11 September 2012. This round of consultation was about boundaries and how many members will be elected by each of the new wards. He stated that 12 submissions were received by the Boundary Commission in response to the consultation, and there were currently 10 of those submissions on the website.

He highlighted a number of points including:

- There were three comprehensive submissions on the whole borough. One from the Conservative, Labour and another from the Mayor. In

addition there were other submissions such as one from a member of the public but only about the Spitalfields area. There were two further less detailed submissions from the London Dockers club, and another member of the public which focused on the Shadwell ward. There were also a number of letters of support for the labour group submission.

- The Local Government Boundary Commission will consider all submissions received and will shortly draft recommendations concerning ward arrangements in November 2012. There will then be further consultation on the draft recommendations, before the final decision is made. That decision could be based on submissions received or based on elements from various submissions, and will be communicated to the council.
- Final recommendations are to be published in March 2013

Responding to questions, officers stated that

- The commission have indicated that they will speak to groups if they have queries about their submission.
- The officers confirmed that GIS maps have been submitted for all three submissions. The intention is not to publicise them as specialist software is required in order to view them. The officer suggested that the maps could be circulated to members, but he would have to seek prior advice from IT.
- On the basis of the last two consultations, it is likely that the final stage of consultation will be displayed on the website, accompanied by press releases to inform the public.
- In terms of the numbers of electors, the census data has been projected forward by reference to what is known about new potential developments in parts of the borough and how many electors that will generate. The commission have used mailing lists provided by Tower Hamlets to reach all communities within the borough.

The committee discussed the report and noted particular issues including:

- The importance of getting all sections of the community involved in the consultation so that they are made aware of the proposed changes. The officer stated that he will refer this matter to the consultation team as an issue for further probing.
- Some members of the committee expressed their sadness about the planned reduction of members from 51 to 45. They supported the current level of councillors, and would like this to be noted and expressed to full council when the final report is presented.

The committee expressed their gratitude to Benn Huntley and John Williams, and other officers who were involved in assisting members with their submissions.

Action:

- Email members links of the online submissions.
- Officers to find out whether the draft recommendation due out in November will be brought to the committee for discussion.

RESOLVED

- That the report be noted

5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR CONSIDERS URGENT

There were none

6. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

The Chair moved to exclude press and public from the remainder of the meeting for the consideration of Section Two (restricted) business on the grounds that it contained information defined as exempt in part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972. The categories defined as:
"1. Information relating to an individual."

RESOLVED

That press and public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting.

7. EXEMPT REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION

7.1 School Governor appointment to Rachel Keeling School

This item was considered in closed session.

8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR CONSIDERS TO BE URGENT

The Chair **MOVED** and it was agreed by the committee that future General Purposes meetings will commence at an earlier time of 7pm.

The meeting ended at 8.25 p.m.

Chair, Councillor Shiria Khatun
General Purposes Committee

Agenda Item 4.1

Committee General Purposes Committee	Date 19th December 2012	Classification Unrestricted	Report No.	Agenda Item No. 4.1
Report of: Assistant Chief Executive (Legal Services) Originating Officer(s): John Williams, Service Head, Democratic Services		Title: Local Electoral Review 2012 - update Ward(s) affected: All		

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 The Committee is aware that the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) is currently undertaking an electoral review of Tower Hamlets. The aim of the review is to establish the most suitable number of councillors for the authority; and within this to propose the number and boundaries of wards to deliver:-
- electoral equality for voters;
 - boundaries that reflect natural communities; and
 - effective and convenient local government
- 1.2 The recommendations agreed as a result of the electoral review will be implemented with effect from the next local elections in May 2014.
- 1.3 This report provides a further update on progress of the review for the Committee's information.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 That the information in the report, and any further verbal update to be provided at the meeting, be noted.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) is an independent body established by the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009. The LGBCE are responsible for reviewing local authority electoral arrangements, administrative boundaries and structure.
- 3.2 Tower Hamlets has been identified as requiring an electoral review in the current year in accordance with the Commission's criteria relating to electoral variances between wards. Since the last review in 1998/9, the levels of

electoral representation in the borough have become unbalanced due to housing development and other population changes. As a result, currently the number of electors represented by the councillors in six of the 17 wards varies by more than 10% from the borough average. In one ward (Millwall, which has 14,798 electors) councillors represent 47% more electors than the borough average, whilst in Spitalfields and Banglatown (which has 7,096 electors), the figure is 30% below the average.

- 3.3 The review commenced on 29th November 2011 when representatives of the LGBCE addressed the Mayor and Councillors prior to the full Council meeting.

4. THE REVIEW PROCESS

- 4.1 A local electoral review is conducted in two main stages. At the first stage the Commission makes an initial determination on the future size of the council – i.e. the total number of councillors required to deliver effective and convenient local government in the borough. This initial finding determines the duration of the review and the extent of consultation to be undertaken. If a significant change in council size is proposed (i.e. a change of more than one or two councillors), a further period of public consultation is held on this question.
- 4.2 The second stage of the review focuses on the proposed division of the borough into wards, to achieve within the total number of councillors proposed, the optimum number of electors per councillor across all wards of the authority. Submissions on warding patterns are sought from the Mayor and Councillors, political parties, the public, residents associations and community groups before the LGBCE publish their draft recommendations.

5. PROGRESS ON THE TOWER HAMLETS REVIEW

- 5.1 The first and second stages of the Tower Hamlets review are now complete and the LGBCE published its draft recommendations on 13th November 2012. These are now subject to a final round of public consultation until 7th January 2013.

Council Size

- 5.2 As previously reported, following public consultation, the LGBCE confirmed on 14th June 2012 that it is minded to propose a council size for Tower Hamlets of 45 councillors, six fewer than the current 51.

Warding patterns

- 5.3 Public consultation then commenced on warding patterns. The Commission invited local people and other interested parties including councillors, local political and community groups to submit information for a new pattern of wards – i.e. proposals as to where new ward boundaries should be placed and for ward names - based on a council size of 45 members.

- 5.4 This consultation was scheduled to run from 20th June to 28th August 2012 but in response to requests from the Council and the political groups, the Commission agreed to extend the closing date to 11th September.
- 5.5 The Commission's stated aim is to recommend a pattern of wards that:-
- achieves good electoral equality (i.e. as far as possible, the member to elector ratio in each ward should be the same or within an acceptable tolerance level);
 - reflects community identities and interests; and
 - provides for effective and convenient local government.
- 5.6 They also seek to use strong, easily-identifiable ward boundaries. The Commission states that 'all proposals for warding patterns should demonstrate how any pattern of wards aids the provision of effective and convenient local government and why any deterioration in equality of representation or community identity should be accepted. Representations that are supported by evidence and argument will carry more weight with the Commission than those which merely assert a point of view'.
- 5.7 There is no requirement for all wards to return three members – submissions may include proposals for wards electing one, two or three councillors. New wards do not have to follow existing ward or polling district boundaries
- 5.8 The population of Tower Hamlets is planned to grow significantly and it is important that the review provides substantial equality for some years to come, so the aim is to achieve an equality of representation based on projected figures 5 years from the end of the review - i.e. in March 2018. The Commission therefore published electorate projections for the borough to 2018, broken down into current polling districts. The projected total electorate for the borough in March 2018 is 198,777. Based on a council size of 45 members, this means that each councillor will represent an average of 4417 electors. Therefore the target size for a two-member ward in the new arrangements would be 8,834 electors and for a three-member ward 13,251 electors.
- 5.9 Following the close of consultation on 11th September, the Commission stated that it had received ten submissions prior to the deadline and five late submissions subsequently. The submissions, which are published on the LGBCE website, were from:-
- Mayor of Tower Hamlets
 - Labour Group
 - Conservative Group
 - John Biggs AM
 - Rushanara Ali MP
 - Jim Fitzpatrick MP
 - London Dockers Club
 - Frankcom J

- Madewell S
- Smith A

Late submissions were received from:-

- Community Network
- Hossain I
- Cllr Aston
- Limehouse Community Forum
- Tower Hamlets Labour Group

6. DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 The LGBCE published its draft recommendations for Tower Hamlets on 13th November 2012.

6.2 Summary of the proposals:

The Commission have held to their initial recommendation of a council size of 45; and have proposed a warding structure based on this. They state that all three of the borough-wide draft schemes that they received (from the Mayor, the Labour Group and the Conservative Group) provided good electoral equality, clear boundaries and evidence of community identity. They have therefore based their recommendations on a combination of all three schemes, subject to modifications in some areas to reflect other representations received. The draft proposals provide for a scheme of **20 wards** made up as follows:-

- 2 x single-member wards;
- 11 x two-member wards; and
- 7 x three-member wards.

6.3 The draft proposals would result in a number of wards with electoral variances greater than 10% from the borough average in 2012. This is due to the significant development planned between 2012 and 2018 especially in Bromley-by-Bow and the Isle of Dogs. For the purposes of the review the LGBCE has divided the borough into 4 sub-areas as below:-

6.4 **Tower Hamlets south:** In the southern part of the Isle of Dogs, they propose to adopt the Labour group's proposal for a two-member ward with Millwall Dock as the northern boundary and Seyssel Street and Storers Quay as the other boundaries. They have modified the Labour proposal so that the Storers Quay community is entirely included in the ward. They propose to call this Millwall ward. They also propose a single-member Limehouse ward using Westferry Road, West India Dock Road and Commercial Road as strong local boundaries. To the south of Limehouse, they propose largely to adopt the Conservative group's proposal for a three-member Blackwall & Cubitt Town ward subject to minor amendments as a result of their recommendations for neighbouring wards. They also propose to adopt the Conservative group's

proposal for a two member Limehouse & Canary Wharf ward. However, they propose to name this ward West India.

- 6.5 **Tower Hamlets east central:** The LGBCE proposes to adopt the Conservative group's proposal for a single-member Poplar South ward with some small changes to reflect ground detail. They also propose a three-member Poplar North ward which would have the western edge of Bartlett Park either side of Lindfield Street as its western boundary. These arrangements would help achieve high levels of electoral equality for the proposed three-member Mile End ward. The Commission noted during their tour of the area that the overground railway provides the strongest boundary in the Bromley-by-Bow area. As such, they believe a north-south divide would deliver the best warding pattern in this area to create a two-member Bromley North and a two-member Bromley South ward. They propose to adopt Councillor Aston's proposal that Bromley North ward's northern boundary should be the A11 and that the ward should extend west to encompass the British Estate.
- 6.6 **Tower Hamlets west central:** For the Stepney area, the LGBCE propose largely to adopt the Labour group's scheme for a two member Stepney East and a two member Stepney West ward. They have made some modifications to the proposal so that the southern boundary of Stepney West ward is Commercial Road as well as some further modifications to deliver electoral equality for voters. They have chosen to adopt the Conservative group's proposal for a two-member Shadwell ward which has strong, identifiable boundaries. They also propose to adopt the identical proposal by the Mayor and Conservative group for a St Katharine's & Wapping ward. As a result of these proposals, they also propose a three-member Whitechapel ward which is bounded by The Highway, Whitechapel Road and Sidney Street.
- 6.7 **Tower Hamlets north:** To the north west of the borough, the Commission propose a three-member Weavers ward which crosses Bethnal Green Road. The Commission believes that Bethnal Green Road is a uniting factor in the local community. To the south of our proposed Weaver's ward, all three boroughwide schemes proposed a similar warding arrangement for the Spitalfields area. The Commission believe that the Mayor's proposal for an eastern boundary running along Cambridge Heath Road, Darling Road and the western edge of the Burial Ground delivers the clearest boundary. They propose to call this ward Spitalfields. As a result of their decision not to use Bethnal Green Road as a boundary between wards, the LGBCE propose to adopt the Mayor's scheme for a three-member St Peter's ward as well as a three member Bethnal Green ward which uses strong boundaries as well as linking communities to the north and south of Roman Road. Finally, they propose to adopt the Labour group and Mayor's proposal for the Bow area by creating a north-south boundary to form a three-member eastern ward and a two-member western ward, called Bow East ward and Bow West ward.

6.8 Next steps

The draft recommendations are now open for a final public consultation until 7th January 2013. Full details of the draft recommendations are available to view on the LGBCE website at <https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk>, including detailed maps of the proposed and existing wards. The Commission encourages all interested organisations and individuals in the borough to comment. As always, the LGBCE ask that any submissions state *why* a boundary or name is good or bad, based on the three statutory criteria of electoral equality, effective and convenient local government, and community identity.

6.9 Following the close of public consultation, the Commission expect to publish their final recommendations in March 2103. These will then be the subject of an order that will be laid in both houses of parliament. Parliament has 40 days to consider the matter before the recommendations are implemented with effect from the Council elections in May/June 2014.

7. COUNCIL MEETING, 28TH NOVEMBER 2012

7.1 The LGBCE's draft recommendations have already been the subject of much discussion within the borough and two motions were submitted on the subject to the Council meeting on 28th November 2012.

7.2 Following debate, the Council voted in favour of one of the motions submitted and resolved as follows:-

This Council Notes:

- The Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) has recently released its draft recommendations for new ward boundaries and names in Tower Hamlets.
- That the LGBCE is recommending renaming the successor ward for the current 'Spitalfields and Banglatown' as 'Spitalfields' doing away with the 'Banglatown' name.
- That the LGBCE made its decision following the Conservative Group submission which argued that: *'the Bangladeshi community lives across the borough' and that to include 'Banglatown' in the name 'implies that the Bangladeshi community in Tower Hamlets is restricted to the Spitalfields area alone'*
- The strong local public opinion in support of the retention of the Banglatown ward name.

This Council believes:

- The Banglatown name was introduced to reflect the significant Bangladeshi population and the cross-cultural nature of our community.
- Removing the name would be entirely wrong, especially as local residents have not been consulted by either the Conservative Group or the LGBCE.
- The Banglatown name reflects not only the geographic location but the role of Brick Lane as the cultural heart of Britain's Bangladeshi community.
- The Mayor's proposal, adopted by the Boundary Commission, to reduce the number of councillors from 51 to 45 was a mistake and that with the fastest growing population in the country Tower Hamlets will suffer as a result of the reduction in councillors.
- Were the number of councillors still set at 51, then Spitalfields and Banglatown may have remained a three member ward and the debate over the name could have been avoided.

This Council resolves:

- To call on all political parties to argue in any further submissions to the LGBCE for the reinstatement of the Banglatown ward name.
- To support residents in making appeals to the LGBCE not to abolish the Banglatown ward name.
- For the Council to write to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England on behalf of residents calling on them to reverse their decision to reduce the number of councillors from 51 to 45.

8. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

8.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

9. CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL)

9.1 The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is established by the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 and the electoral review of Tower Hamlets is conducted in accordance with that legislation and associated regulations. There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations in this report.

10. IMPLICATIONS FOR ONE TOWER HAMLETS

- 10.1 The aim of the electoral review is to secure appropriate and as far as possible equal representation for electors across all wards of the borough.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 2000 (SECTION 97)

LIST OF “BACKGROUND PAPERS” USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of “background paper”

Name and telephone number of holder and address where open to inspection

Correspondence from LGBCE

John Williams
020 7364 4204

Agenda Item 4.2

Committee General Purposes Committee	Date 19 December 2012	Classification Unrestricted	Report No.	Agenda Item No. 4.2
Report of: Assistant Chief Executive (Legal Services) Originating Officer(s): John Williams, Service Head, Democratic Services		Title: Parliamentary Constituency Boundary Review 2013 – Update Ward(s) affected: All		

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 The Committee is aware that the Boundary Commission for England (BCE) is currently undertaking a review of Parliamentary Constituency boundaries to take effect in 2013, in accordance with the requirements of the Parliamentary Voting System and Constituencies Act 2011.
- 1.2 The General Purposes Committee in November 2011 received a report on the BCE's initial recommendations under which the two constituencies in Tower Hamlets would remain unchanged. The Committee welcomed the proposal for no change and agreed the Council's response to the BCE accordingly.
- 1.3 The BCE subsequently reviewed its proposals in the light of the responses received and has published and consulted on revised recommendations. This report informs the Committee of those revised recommendations which now propose substantial changes to the boundaries of parliamentary constituencies locally.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1. That the Committee note the report.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Parliamentary Voting System and Constituencies Act 2011 requires the total number of constituencies in the UK to be reduced from 650 to 600 and requires greater parity between the number of voters in each constituency. The Act states that each constituency must be within 5% of the UK Electoral Quota of 76,641 voters. This means that every constituency in Great Britain (except those covering the Isle of Wight) must have an electorate no smaller than 72,810 and no larger than 80,473.
- 3.2 The four Parliamentary Boundary Commissions announced the commencement of the Sixth Periodical Review on 4 March 2011. The Boundary Commission for England (BCE) stated that there were likely to be

“very extensive and wide-ranging changes to be made to the existing pattern and composition of constituencies”.

- 3.3 The 2013 Parliamentary Review is an entirely separate exercise from the Local Electoral Review that is currently underway in Tower Hamlets and which will recommend changes to the number of councillors and ward boundaries for local elections from 2014.
- 3.4 The BCE published its initial recommendations on 13th September 2011. Those initial recommendations were subject to consultation until 5th December 2011. Under the initial recommendations England would have 502 constituencies, a reduction of 31 from the current number. Within London, there would be a reduction of five seats and the BCE’s initial recommendations would have left just four of the 73 current London constituencies unchanged, including the two constituencies in Tower Hamlets, which was one of only two London boroughs (the other being L B Bromley) where, under the initial recommendations, parliamentary constituencies would remain coterminous with the borough boundaries.
- 3.5 The General Purposes Committee on 23rd November agreed the Council’s response to the BCE consultation on its initial recommendations. The response noted that the criteria under which the Boundary Commission is required to undertake the review do not require any changes to the existing parliamentary constituency boundaries in Tower Hamlets. Both Bethnal Green and Bow (79,581*) and Poplar and Limehouse (74,919*) constituencies have electorates within the range stipulated in the Parliamentary Voting System and Constituencies Act 2011 (*2011 figures, as required by the Act). The Council welcomed the 'no change' proposal and considered that it supported consistency, cohesion and stability.
- 3.6 The Mayor also responded and welcomed the 'no change' proposal. In his response the Mayor referred also to Tower Hamlets' unique identity as a borough and felt that any change that created cross-borough constituencies would be detrimental to that identity and to representation.

4. THE BOUNDARY COMMISSION’S REVISED PROPOSALS

- 4.1 Notwithstanding the above, the Commission has now revised its initial recommendations quite substantially in revised proposals which were published on 16th October 2012 and the subject of public consultation until 10th December.
- 4.2 The BCE states that it had received almost 50,000 responses to the consultation on the initial recommendations and the revised proposals were drawn up in the light of those submissions.
- 4.3 In relation to London, the revised proposals are significantly different from the initial recommendations. The overall number of constituencies in London will still reduce from 73 to 68, but the revised proposals include changes to 50 of the 68 constituencies that the Commission proposed in September 2011. Only three constituencies will remain the same as they are under existing arrangements. Most significantly affecting Tower Hamlets, the

Commission has revised its initial proposal for a constituency that crosses the River Lee between Chingford and Edmonton, proposing instead a constituency that crosses the Lee between Bow and Stratford.

4.4 Rather than 'no change', therefore, the resulting proposals now envisage Tower Hamlets being divided between three parliamentary constituencies, only one of which will be completely within the borough. The three proposed constituencies are:

- **Poplar and Stepney** (comprising the existing wards of St Katharine's & Wapping, Whitechapel, Shadwell, St Dunstan's & Stepney Green, Limehouse, East India & Lansbury, Millwall and Blackwall & Cubitt Town);
- **Bethnal Green and Shoreditch** (comprising the existing wards of Spitalfields & Banglatown, Weavers, Bethnal Green North, Bethnal Green South and Mile End & Globe Town; plus parts of L. B. Hackney); and
- **Bow and Stratford** (comprising the existing wards of Mile End East, Bromley-by-Bow, Bow West and Bow East; plus parts of L. B. Newham)

4.5 Full details of the revised proposals including the BCE's full and summary report, maps, electoral data and background information on the boundary review can be found on the Boundary Commission website at <http://consultation.boundarycommissionforengland.independent.gov.uk/whats-proposed/london/>

4.6 Attached at Appendix A to this report are the Constituency Breakdown Tables prepared by the BCE showing the relationship between existing London parliamentary constituencies and those in the revised proposals, in terms of the number of electors and percentage of the electorate included in each.

5. THE NEXT STEPS

5.1 Consultation on the revised proposals closed on 10th December and the Boundary Commission for England will now consider all evidence received throughout the review, before making its final recommendations to the Government. The Commission must make those final recommendations by 1st October 2013.

5.2 Once the Government has received the BCE's final report, it must be laid before parliament prior to implementation of the changes.

6. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

6.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

7. CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL)

7.1 There are no legal implications arising directly from this report. The boundary review is being conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Parliamentary Voting System and Constituencies Act 2011.

8. IMPLICATIONS FOR ONE TOWER HAMLETS

- 8.1 There are no immediate implications for One Tower Hamlets arising from this report. One of the aims of the boundary review is to achieve greater parity in the number of electors in each parliamentary constituency.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 2000 (SECTION 97)

LIST OF “BACKGROUND PAPERS” USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of “background paper”

Name and telephone number of holder and address where open to inspection

Revised proposals of the Boundary Commission for England

Louise Stamp
020 7364 3139



Revised Proposals - Constituency Breakdown Tables

The following tables have been produced by the Boundary Commission for England to assist understanding of its Revised Proposals, which are published on 16 October 2012.

Table 1 shows the relationship between each existing constituency and the constituencies in our revised proposals, in terms of the number of electors and the percentage of the electorate of each existing constituency that is included in a constituency in our initial proposals. For example, where that figure is shown as 100%, it means that all of the electorate of the existing constituency is included in a constituency in our revised proposals.

Table 2 shows the relationship between each constituency in our revised proposals and the existing constituencies, in terms of the number of electors and the percentage of the electorate of each constituency in our revised proposals that has come from an existing constituency. For example, where that figure is shown as 100%, it means that all of the electorate of a constituency in our revised proposals has come from one existing constituency.

If you have any queries about the review, please use the contact details shown at the foot of this page.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE TABLES ARE EMBARGOED UNTIL 00.01 ON 16 OCTOBER 2012.

London Region			
Existing Constituency	Electorate	Revised Proposed Constituency	%
Barking BC	61,041	Barking BC	82.46%
	12,986	Dagenham and Rainham BC	17.54%
Battersea BC	21,322	Balham and Tooting BC	29.20%
	51,706	Battersea and Vauxhall BC	70.80%
Beckenham BC	42,888	Beckenham BC	64.52%
	23,582	Bromley and Chislehurst BC	35.48%
Bethnal Green and Bow BC	41,533	Bethnal Green and Shoreditch BC	52.19%
	18,300	Bow and Stratford BC	23.00%
	19,748	Poplar and Stepney BC	24.81%
Bexleyheath and Crayford BC	48,093	Bexleyheath and Erith BC	74.98%
	16,051	Sidcup and Welling BC	25.02%
Bermondsey and Old Southwark BC	53,392	Bermondsey and South Bank BC	69.17%
	23,794	Deptford and Rotherhithe BC	30.33%
Brent Central BC	8,961	Wembley BC	12.21%
	64,424	Willesden BC	87.79%
Brent North BC	9,160	Greenford and Northolt BC	11.08%
	9,146	Harrow West BC	11.07%
	64,342	Wembley BC	77.85%
Brentford and Isleworth BC	74,543	Brentford and Isleworth BC	89.45%
	8,789	Hampton BC	10.55%
Bromley and Chislehurst BC	53,614	Bromley and Chislehurst BC	81.84%
	11,894	Orpington BC	18.16%
Camberwell and Peckham BC	17,301	Bermondsey and South Bank BC	22.01%
	8,712	Deptford and Rotherhithe BC	11.08%
	52,592	Dulwich and Peckham BC	66.91%
Carshalton and Wallington BC	59,321	Carshalton and Coulsdon BC	88.48%
	7,723	Croydon South BC	11.52%
Chelsea and Fulham BC	57,705	Chelsea and Fulham BC	91.66%
	5,253	Kensington BC	8.34%
Chingford and Woodford Green BC	48,295	Chingford BC	74.56%
	16,475	Wanstead and Woodford BC	25.44%

Chipping Barnet BC	76,455	Chipping Barnet BC	100%
Cities of London and Westminster BC	5,059	Kensington BC	7.77%
	60,081	The Cities of London and Westminster BC	92.23%
Croydon Central BC	66,497	Croydon East BC	86.38%
	10,483	Croydon South BC	13.62%
Croydon North BC	10,754	Croydon East BC	12.64%
	74,353	Croydon North BC	87.36%
Croydon South BC	19,493	Carshalton and Coulsdon BC	23.90%
	62,061	Croydon South BC	76.10%
Dagenham and Rainham BC	62,894	Dagenham and Rainham BC	89.61%
	7,293	Romford BC	10.39%
Dulwich and West Norwood BC	28,700	Brixton BC	40.13%
	17,777	Clapham and Streatham BC	24.85%
	25,046	Dulwich and Peckham BC	35.02%
Ealing Central and Acton BC	43,137	Ealing Central BC	61.78%
	26,691	Hammersmith and Acton BC	38.22%
Ealing North BC	18,096	Ealing Central BC	24.75%
	55,009	Greenford and Northolt BC	75.25%
Ealing, Southall BC	17,599	Ealing Central BC	26.76%
	9,773	Greenford and Northolt BC	14.86%
	38,396	Southall and Heston BC	58.38%
East Ham BC	48,435	East Ham and Loxford BC	52.92%
	43,096	Newham South BC	47.08%
Edmonton BC	45,506	Edmonton and Tottenham Hale BC	70.71%
	8,765	Enfield North BC	13.62%
	10,085	Enfield Southgate BC	15.67%
Eltham BC	53,825	Eltham and Charlton BC	85.36%
	9,234	Thamesmead and Plumstead BC	14.64%
Enfield North BC	66,761	Enfield North BC	100%
Enfield, Southgate BC	64,932	Enfield Southgate BC	100%
Erith and Thamesmead BC	23,981	Bexleyheath and Erith BC	34.40%
	45,737	Thamesmead and Plumstead BC	65.60%

Feltham and Heston BC	15,330	Hampton BC	19.06%
	24,919	Hayes and Feltham BC	30.98%
	40,188	Southall and Heston BC	49.96%
Finchley and Golders Green BC	71,595	Finchley and Golders Green BC	100%
Greenwich and Woolwich BC	19,716	Eltham and Charlton BC	29.43%
	27,964	Greenwich and Lewisham Central BC	41.75%
	19,302	Thamesmead and Plumstead BC	28.82%
Hackney North and Stoke Newington BC	40,553	Hackney Central BC	54.23%
	34,227	Stamford Hill and South Tottenham BC	45.77%
Hackney South and Shoreditch BC	34,732	Bethnal Green and Shoreditch BC	47.08%
	39,046	Hackney Central BC	52.92%
Hammersmith BC	13,640	Chelsea and Fulham BC	19.48%
	51,474	Hammersmith and Acton BC	73.53%
	4,894	Willesden BC	6.99%
Harrow East BC	72,537	Harrow East BC	100%
Harrow West BC	7,822	Harrow East BC	11.31%
	61,313	Harrow West BC	88.69%
Hampstead and Kilburn BC	70,591	Hampstead and Kilburn BC	89.87%
	7,961	Willesden BC	10.13%
Hayes and Harlington BC	52,493	Hayes and Feltham BC	74.36%
	18,096	Uxbridge BC	25.64%
Hendon BC	74,329	Hendon BC	100%
Holborn and St Pancras BC	48,023	Camden Town and Regent's Park BC	56.34%
	7,634	Hampstead and Kilburn BC	8.96%
	29,586	Islington South and Holborn BC	34.71%
Hornchurch and Upminster BC	79,568	Hornchurch and Upminster BC	100%
Hornsey and Wood Green BC	8,463	Finchley and Golders Green BC	10.59%
	71,415	Hornsey and Wood Green BC	89.41%
Ilford North BC	46,181	Ilford North BC	63.52%
	26,521	Wanstead and Woodford BC	36.48%

Ilford South BC	18,379	Barking BC	21.27%
	19,312	East Ham and Loxford BC	22.35%
	30,492	Ilford North BC	35.29%
	18,218	Wanstead and Woodford BC	21.09%
Islington North BC	7,924	Hornsey and Wood Green BC	11.52%
	60,853	Islington North BC	88.48%
Islington South and Finsbury BC	17,769	Islington North BC	26.28%
	49,844	Islington South and Holborn BC	73.72%
Kensington BC	8,902	Chelsea and Fulham BC	14.18%
	53,882	Kensington BC	85.82%
Kingston and Surbiton BC	61,245	Kingston and Surbiton BC	75.95%
	12,850	Sutton and Cheam BC	15.94%
	6,544	Wimbledon and Coombe BC	8.12%
Lewisham, Deptford BC	47,624	Deptford and Rotherhithe BC	70.46%
	10,222	Greenwich and Lewisham Central BC	15.12%
	9,744	Lewisham South BC	14.42%
Lewisham East BC	37,182	Greenwich and Lewisham Central BC	56.76%
	28,326	Lewisham South BC	43.24%
Lewisham West and Penge BC	30,629	Beckenham BC	44.13%
	38,770	Lewisham South BC	55.87%
Leyton and Wanstead BC	45,673	Leyton BC	72.47%
	17,348	Wanstead and Woodford BC	27.53%
Mitcham and Morden BC	67,074	Mitcham and Morden BC	100%
Old Bexley and Sidcup BC	8,159	Bexleyheath and Erith BC	12.52%
	57,002	Sidcup and Welling BC	87.48%
Orpington BC	68,221	Orpington BC	100%
Poplar and Limehouse BC	16,752	Bow and Stratford BC	22.36%
	58,167	Poplar and Stepney BC	77.64%
Putney BC	62,135	Putney BC	100%
Richmond Park BC	14,139	Kingston and Surbiton BC	18.45%
	49,674	Richmond and Twickenham BC	64.81%
	12,837	Wimbledon and Coombe BC	16.75%
Romford BC	71,978	Romford BC	100%

Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	8,090	Harrow West BC	11.28%
	49,935	Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	69.64%
	13,681	Uxbridge BC	19.08%
Streatham BC	19,543	Brixton BC	27.18%
	52,370	Clapham and Streatham BC	72.82%
Sutton and Cheam BC	66,571	Sutton and Cheam BC	100%
Tooting BC	51,947	Balham and Tooting BC	71.45%
	9,875	Mitcham and Morden BC	13.58%
	10,885	Putney BC	14.97%
Tottenham BC	31,280	Edmonton and Tottenham Hale BC	44.58%
	38,882	Stamford Hill and South Tottenham BC	55.42%
Twickenham BC	50,056	Hampton BC	63.22%
	29,116	Richmond and Twickenham BC	36.78%
Uxbridge and South Ruislip BC	25,600	Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	35.58%
	46,354	Uxbridge BC	64.42%
Vauxhall BC	27,482	Battersea and Vauxhall BC	37.51%
	6,855	Bermondsey and South Bank BC	9.36%
	29,332	Brixton BC	40.03%
	9,605	Clapham and Streatham BC	13.11%
Walthamstow BC	31,778	Chingford BC	49.28%
	32,704	Leyton BC	50.72%
West Ham BC	44,742	Bow and Stratford BC	51.78%
	9,724	East Ham and Loxford BC	11.25%
	31,934	Newham South BC	36.96%
Westminster North BC	32,429	Camden Town and Regent's Park BC	49.18%
	14,350	Kensington BC	21.76%
	19,157	The Cities of London and Westminster BC	29.05%
Wimbledon BC	7,326	Putney BC	11.11%
	58,610	Wimbledon and Coombe BC	88.89%

London Region			
Revised Proposed Constituency	Electorate	Existing Constituency	%
Balham and Tooting BC	21,322	Battersea BC	29.10%
	51,947	Tooting BC	70.90%
Barking BC	61,041	Barking BC	76.86%
	18,379	Ilford South BC	23.14%
Battersea and Vauxhall BC	51,706	Battersea BC	65.30%
	27,482	Vauxhall BC	34.70%
Beckenham BC	42,888	Beckenham BC	58.34%
	30,629	Lewisham West and Penge BC	41.66%
Bermondsey and South Bank BC	53,392	Bermondsey and Old Southwark BC	68.85%
	17,301	Camberwell and Peckham BC	22.31%
	6,855	Vauxhall BC	8.84%
Bethnal Green and Shoreditch BC	41,533	Bethnal Green and Bow BC	54.46%
	34,732	Hackney South and Shoreditch BC	45.54%
Bexleyheath and Erith BC	48,093	Bexleyheath and Crayford BC	59.94%
	23,981	Erith and Thamesmead BC	29.89%
	8,159	Old Bexley and Sidcup BC	10.17%
Bow and Stratford BC	18,300	Bethnal Green and Bow BC	22.93%
	16,752	Poplar and Limehouse BC	20.99%
	44,742	West Ham BC	56.07%
Brentford and Isleworth BC	74,543	Brentford and Isleworth BC	100%
Brixton BC	28,700	Dulwich and West Norwood BC	37.00%
	19,543	Streatham BC	25.19%
	29,332	Vauxhall BC	37.81%
Bromley and Chislehurst BC	23,582	Beckenham BC	30.55%
	53,614	Bromley and Chislehurst BC	69.45%
Camden Town and Regent's Park BC	48,023	Holborn and St Pancras BC	59.69%
	32,429	Westminster North BC	40.31%
Carshalton and Coulsdon BC	59,321	Carshalton and Wallington BC	75.27%
	19,493	Croydon South BC	24.73%

Chelsea and Fulham BC	57,705	Chelsea and Fulham BC	71.91%
	13,640	Hammersmith BC	17.00%
	8,902	Kensington BC	11.09%
Chingford BC	48,295	Chingford and Woodford Green BC	60.31%
	31,778	Walthamstow BC	39.69%
Chipping Barnet BC	76,455	Chipping Barnet BC	100%
Clapham and Streatham BC	17,777	Dulwich and West Norwood BC	22.29%
	52,370	Streatham BC	65.67%
	9,605	Vauxhall BC	12.04%
Croydon East BC	66,497	Croydon Central BC	86.08%
	10,754	Croydon South BC	13.92%
Croydon North BC	74,353	Croydon North BC	100%
Croydon South BC	7,723	Carshalton and Wallington BC	9.62%
	10,483	Croydon Central BC	13.06%
	62,061	Croydon South BC	77.32%
Dagenham and Rainham BC	12,986	Barking BC	17.11%
	62,894	Dagenham and Rainham BC	82.89%
Deptford and Rotherhithe BC	23,794	Bermondsey and Old Southwark BC	29.69%
	8,712	Camberwell and Peckham BC	10.87%
	47,624	Lewisham, Deptford BC	59.43%
Dulwich and Peckham BC	52,592	Camberwell and Peckham BC	67.74%
	25,046	Dulwich and West Norwood BC	32.26%
Ealing Central BC	43,137	Ealing Central and Acton BC	54.72%
	18,096	Ealing North BC	22.96%
	17,599	Ealing, Southall BC	22.32%
East Ham and Loxford BC	48,435	East Ham BC	62.52%
	19,312	Ilford South BC	24.93%
	9,724	West Ham BC	12.55%
Eltham and Charlton BC	53,825	Eltham BC	73.19%
	19,716	Greenwich and Woolwich BC	26.81%
Edmonton and Tottenham Hale BC	45,506	Edmonton BC	59.26%
	31,280	Tottenham BC	40.74%
Enfield North BC	8,765	Edmonton BC	11.61%
	66,761	Enfield North BC	88.39%

Enfield Southgate BC	10,085	Edmonton BC	13.44%
	64,932	Enfield, Southgate BC	86.56%
Finchley and Golders Green BC	71,595	Finchley and Golders Green BC	89.43%
	8,463	Hornsey and Wood Green BC	10.57%
Greenford and Northolt BC	9,160	Brent North BC	12.39%
	55,009	Ealing North BC	74.39%
	9,773	Ealing, Southall BC	13.22%
Greenwich and Lewisham Central BC	27,964	Greenwich and Woolwich BC	37.10%
	10,222	Lewisham, Deptford BC	13.56%
	37,182	Lewisham East BC	49.33%
Hackney Central BC	40,553	Hackney North and Stoke Newington BC	50.95%
	39,046	Hackney South and Shoreditch BC	49.05%
Hammersmith and Acton BC	26,691	Ealing Central and Acton BC	34.15%
	51,474	Hammersmith BC	65.85%
Hampstead and Kilburn BC	70,591	Hampstead and Kilburn BC	90.24%
	7,634	Holborn and St Pancras BC	9.76%
Hampton BC	8,789	Brentford and Isleworth BC	11.85%
	15,330	Feltham and Heston BC	20.67%
	50,056	Twickenham BC	67.48%
Harrow East BC	72,537	Harrow East BC	90.27%
	7,822	Harrow West BC	9.73%
Harrow West BC	9,146	Brent North BC	11.64%
	61,313	Harrow West BC	78.06%
	8,090	Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	10.30%
Hayes and Feltham BC	24,919	Feltham and Heston BC	32.19%
	52,493	Hayes and Harlington BC	67.81%
Hendon BC	74,329	Hendon BC	100%
Hornchurch and Upminster BC	79,568	Hornchurch and Upminster BC	100%
Hornsey and Wood Green BC	71,415	Hornsey and Wood Green BC	90.01%
	7,924	Islington North BC	9.99%
Ilford North BC	46,181	Ilford North BC	60.23%
	30,492	Ilford South BC	39.77%

Islington North BC	60,853	Islington North BC	77.40%
	17,769	Islington South and Finsbury BC	22.60%
Islington South and Holborn BC	29,586	Holborn and St Pancras BC	37.25%
	49,844	Islington South and Finsbury BC	62.75%
Kensington BC	5,253	Chelsea and Fulham BC	6.69%
	5,059	Cities of London and Westminster BC	6.44%
	53,882	Kensington BC	68.60%
	14,350	Westminster North BC	18.27%
Kingston and Surbiton BC	61,245	Kingston and Surbiton BC	81.24%
	14,139	Richmond Park BC	18.76%
Lewisham South BC	9,744	Lewisham, Deptford BC	12.68%
	28,326	Lewisham East BC	36.86%
	38,770	Lewisham West and Penge BC	50.46%
Leyton BC	45,673	Leyton and Wanstead BC	58.27%
	32,704	Walthamstow BC	41.73%
Mitcham and Morden BC	67,074	Mitcham and Morden BC	87.17%
	9,875	Tooting BC	12.83%
Newham South BC	43,096	East Ham BC	57.44%
	31,934	West Ham BC	42.56%
Orpington BC	11,894	Bromley and Chislehurst BC	14.85%
	68,221	Orpington BC	85.15%
Poplar and Stepney BC	19,748	Bethnal Green and Bow BC	25.35%
	58,167	Poplar and Limehouse BC	74.65%
Putney BC	62,153	Putney BC	77.34%
	10,885	Tooting BC	13.54%
	7,326	Wimbledon BC	9.12%
Richmond and Twickenham BC	49,674	Richmond Park BC	63.05%
	29,116	Twickenham BC	36.95%
Romford BC	7,293	Dagenham and Rainham BC	9.20%
	71,978	Romford BC	90.80%
Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	49,935	Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	66.11%
	25,600	Uxbridge and South Ruislip BC	33.89%
Sidcup and Welling BC	16,051	Bexleyheath and Crayford BC	21.97%
	57,002	Old Bexley and Sidcup BC	78.03%

Southall and Heston BC	38,396	Ealing, Southall BC	48.86%
	40,188	Feltham and Heston BC	51.14%
Stamford Hill and South Tottenham BC	34,227	Hackney North and Stoke Newington BC	46.82%
	38,882	Tottenham BC	53.18%
Sutton and Cheam BC	12,850	Kingston and Surbiton BC	16.18%
	66,571	Sutton and Cheam BC	83.82%
Thamesmead and Plumstead BC	9,234	Eltham BC	12.43%
	45,737	Erith and Thamesmead BC	61.58%
	19,302	Greenwich and Woolwich BC	25.99%
The Cities of London and Westminster BC	60,081	Cities of London and Westminster BC	75.82%
	19,157	Westminster North BC	24.18%
Uxbridge BC	18,096	Hayes and Harlington BC	23.16%
	13,681	Ruislip, Northwood and Pinner BC	17.51%
	46,354	Uxbridge and South Ruislip BC	59.33%
Wanstead and Woodford BC	16,475	Chingford and Woodford Green BC	20.97%
	26,521	Ilford North BC	33.76%
	18,218	Ilford South BC	23.19%
	17,348	Leyton and Wanstead BC	22.08%
Wembley BC	8,961	Brent Central BC	12.22%
	64,342	Brent North BC	87.78%
Willesden BC	64,424	Brent Central BC	83.37%
	4,894	Hammersmith BC	6.33%
	7,961	Hampstead and Kilburn BC	10.30%
Wimbledon and Coombe BC	6,544	Kingston and Surbiton BC	8.39%
	12,837	Richmond Park BC	16.46%
	58,610	Wimbledon BC	75.15%

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6.1

By virtue of paragraph(s) 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6.2

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank